#### NO. 48143-0-II

# IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DIVISION TWO

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent,

٧.

# **DANNY ALLEN WING,**

Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR CLARK COUNTY

The Honorable Nelson Hunt, Judge

# SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT (re appellate costs)

LISA E. TABBUT Attorney for Appellant P. O. Box 1319 Winthrop, WA 98862 (509) 996-3959

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page
A. SUPPLEMENTAL ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR
If the state substantially prevails on appeal, any request for appellate costs should be denied
B. SUPPLEMENTAL ISSUE PERTAINING TO ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR1
Should Mr. Wing have to pay appellate costs if he does not substantially prevail on appeal and the state requests costs?1
C. SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF THE CASE
D. SUPPLEMENTAL ARGUMENT1
If the state substantially prevails on appeal, any request for appellate costs should be denied1
E. CONCLUSION
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE4

#### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page		
Cases			
State v. Blazina, 182 Wn.2d 827, 344 P.3d 680 (2015)	2		
State v. Sinclair, 192 Wn. App. 380, 367 P.3d 612, review denied, Wn.2d 1034 (2016)			
Statutes			
RCW 10.73.160	1		
Other Authorities			
RAP 15.2	2		
Title 14 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure	1		

#### A. SUPPLEMENTAL ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR

If the state substantially prevails on appeal, any request for appellate costs should be denied.

- B. SUPPLEMENTAL ISSUE PERTAINING TO ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR

  Should Mr. Wing have to pay appellate costs if he does not substantially prevail on appeal and the state requests costs?
  - C. SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF THE CASE

    Supplemental facts are in the Argument section.

#### D. SUPPLEMENTAL ARGUMENT

If the state substantially prevails on appeal, any request for appellate costs should be denied.

If Mr. Wing does not substantially prevail on appeal, he requests that no costs of appeal be authorized under Title 14 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure. The Court of Appeals has discretion to deny a cost bill even where the state is the substantially prevailing party on appeal. State v. Sinclair, 192 Wn. App. 380, 391, 367 P.3d 612, review denied, 185 Wn.2d 1034 (2016); RCW 10.73.160(1) (the "court of appeals . . . may require an adult . . . to pay appellate costs."). Imposing costs against indigent defendants raises problems well documented in Blazina: "increased difficulty in reentering society, the doubtful recoupment of

money by the government, and inequities in administration." State v. Blazina, 182 Wn.2d 827, 835, 344 P.3d 680 (2015). Sinclair recognized the concerns expressed in Blazina applied to appellate costs and it is appropriate for appellate courts to be mindful of them in exercising discretion. Sinclair, 192 Wn. App. at 391.

The trial court found Mr. Wing qualified for indigent defense on appeal. Supplemental Designation of Clerk's Papers, Motion and Declaration for Order Authorizing the Defendant to Seek Review at Public Expense and Providing for Appointment of Attorney on Appeal, and Order of Indigency Authorizing the Defendant to Seek Review at Public Expense and Providing for Appointment of Attorney on Appeal.

Importantly, there is a presumption of continued indigency throughout the review process. *Sinclair*, 192 Wn. App. at 393; RAP 15.2(f). As in *Sinclair*, there is no trial court order finding Mr. Wing financial condition has improved or is likely to improve. *Sinclair*, 192 Wn. App. at 393. Mr. Wing is in DOC serving a 416 month sentence. CP 27. Given the serious concerns recognized in *Blazina* and *Sinclair*, this court should soundly exercise it discretion by denying the state's request for appellate costs in this appeal involving an indigent appellant.

#### E. CONCLUSION

This court should impose no appellate costs on Mr. Wing if the state substantially prevails on appeal.

Respectfully submitted November 15, 2016.

LISA E. TABBUT/WSBA 21344 Attorney for Danny Allen Wing

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Lisa E. Tabbut declares as follows:

On today's date, I efiled the Supplemental Brief of Appellant to (1) Lewis County Prosecutor's Office, at appeals@lewiscountywa.gov and (2) appellate prosecutor Sara Beigh at sara.beigh@lewiscounty.wa.gov (3) the Court of Appeals, Division II; and (3) I mailed it to Danny Allen Wing/DOC# 326805

Washington State Penitentiary, 1313 North 13th Avenue, Walla Walla, WA 99362.

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

Signed November 15, 2016, in Winthrop, Washington.

Lisa E. Tabbut, WSBA No. 21344

Attorney for Danny Allen Wing, Appellant

## **LISA E TABBUT LAW OFFICE**

## November 15, 2016 - 11:57 AM

#### **Transmittal Letter**

Document Uploaded: 4	-481430-Supplemental	l Appellaı	nt's Brief.	.pdf
----------------------	----------------------	------------	-------------	------

Case Name: State v. Danny Wing

Court of Appeals Case Number: 48143-0

Is this a Personal Restraint Petition? Yes 

No

# The document being Filed is:

	Designation of Clerk's Papers	Supplemental Designation of Clerk's Papers			
	Statement of Arrangements				
	Motion:				
	Answer/Reply to Motion:				
	Brief: Supplemental Appellant's				
	Statement of Additional Authorities				
	Cost Bill				
	Objection to Cost Bill				
	Affidavit				
	Letter				
	Copy of Verbatim Report of Proceedin Hearing Date(s):	igs - No. of Volumes:			
	Personal Restraint Petition (PRP)				
	Response to Personal Restraint Petition				
	Reply to Response to Personal Restraint Petition				
	Petition for Review (PRV)				
	Other:				
Comments: No Comments were entered.					
Sen	der Name: Lisa E Tabbut - Email: <u>ltab</u>	butlaw@gmail.com			
A co	ppy of this document has been em	ailed to the following addresses:			
appeals@lewiscountywa.gov sara.beigh@lewiscountywa.gov					